

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-14 are pending. No new matter is presented.

**Claims 1-14 Recite Patentable Subject Matter**

Claims 1, 6, and 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by United States Patent Number 6,659,518 to Ponsonnaille et al. (hereinafter “Ponsonnaille”). Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Ponsonnaille. Claims 2-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being anticipated by Ponsonnaille and further in view of the well known prior art. Claims 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being anticipated by Ponsonnaille and further in view of United States Patent Number 4,422,680 to Goupy. Claims 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being anticipated by Ponsonnaille and further in view of United States Patent Number 5,803,514 to Shibuya et al. (hereinafter “Shibuya”). Applicants respectfully traverse each of the rejections for being improperly based on a reference that is not prior art.

In particular, Applicants respectfully point out the instant application was filed in the Untied States Patent Office on February 28, 2002. Ponsonnaille, the primary reference in each of the above-listed rejections, was filed in the United States Patent Office on August 27, 2002, i.e., six (6) months after the instant application was filed. As such, Applicants respectfully submit Ponsonnaille does not qualify as prior art and cannot be cited as the basis for rejecting any of the claims recited in the instant application. Moreover, Applicants respectfully point out that the August 28, 2001 filing date of the French Priority document listed on Ponsonnaille is irrelevant for purposes of the above-listed §102(e) rejections.

Furthermore, Applicants respectfully submit each of the above-listed rejections be withdrawn for being based on an improperly cited reference, i.e., Ponsonnaille, that is not prior art.

Conclusion

In view of the foregoing, reconsideration of the application, withdrawal of the outstanding rejections, allowance of claims 1-14, and the prompt issuance of a Notice of Allowability are respectfully solicited.

Should the Examiner believe anything further is desirable in order to place this application in better condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

In the event this paper is not considered to be timely filed, the Applicants respectfully petition for an appropriate extension of time. Any fees for such an extension, together with any additional fees that may be due with respect to this paper, may be charged to counsel's Deposit Account No. 01-2300, **referencing docket number 105450-00009**.

Respectfully submitted,  
**ARENT FOX KINTNER PLOTKIN & KAHN PLLC**



Murat Ozgu  
Attorney for Applicants  
Registration No. 44,275

Customer No. 004372

1050 Connecticut Avenue, NW, Suite 400  
Washington, DC 20036-5339  
Telephone: (202) 857-6000  
CMM:MO/elp